Why Teachers Hesitate to Implement Technology: Understanding the Real Barriers

Summary

We often hear that teachers are resistant to technology. But what if that's not really the story? This paper challenges that narrative by examining what actually stops teachers from meaningfully integrating technology into their classrooms. Drawing on peer-reviewed research from the past decade, I'll argue that teacher hesitation isn't about resistance or incompetence—it's a sensible response to real, interconnected barriers (Ertmer, 1999). Using Ertmer's (1999) framework, I identify both structural obstacles (inadequate resources, weak professional development) and personal factors (beliefs about learning, concerns about student impact) that shape technology adoption. The key insight: these barriers interact with each other, and sustainable integration requires tackling them simultaneously across infrastructure, leadership, professional development, and teacher agency (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Chiu, 2022; Frontiers in Education, 2025; Murillo-Jiménez et al., 2025).

Introduction

A central tension in educational technology is that, despite repeated expectations that it will transform classrooms, meaningful integration by teachers has remained inconsistent. After billions spent on hardware and software, meaningful technology integration remains inconsistent (Ertmer, 1999). This frustration with teachers often gets framed as resistance, technophobia, or stubbornness. But that framing misses something important.

Teacher hesitation usually isn't about being anti-technology. It's about responding rationally to genuine barriers, some you can see (broken internet, no devices), and some hidden

deeper in their beliefs and concerns about what works for their students (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Frontiers in Education, 2025). Understanding these barriers matters because if we keep blaming teachers instead of fixing the systems, we'll keep investing dollars with minimal results.

This paper takes a more generous view: teacher hesitation reflects thoughtful consideration of real obstacles, not deficiency. Using Ertmer's framework, I map out what these barriers actually are, how they connect to each other, and what genuinely helps teachers move toward meaningful integration. This is important because when we understand what is really stopping teachers, we can actually do something about it (Ertmer, 1999; Chiu, 2022).

The Framework: Two Types of Barriers

To make sense of why teachers hesitate, Ertmer's (1999) classic framework distinguishes between two categories of obstacles, and this distinction is crucial (Ertmer, 1999; Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023).

First-order barriers are the external factors—things beyond individual teacher control. Such examples would be broken projectors, no internet, classes packed so full that group work is impossible, or zero time built into the schedule for learning new tools. These include inadequate hardware, weak technical support, insufficient professional development, and leadership that doesn't actually support technology use (Ertmer, 1999). In resource-constrained contexts, these barriers are particularly severe; recent research from Ghana documented schools where the student-to-device ratio reached 20:1, making simultaneous student use impossible (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023).

Second-order barriers are the internal factors—a teacher's beliefs about how students learn, their confidence using technology, their concerns about whether a particular tool actually helps their teaching (Ertmer, 1999). A teacher might not implement technology because she genuinely doesn't think it fits her pedagogical approach, or because she worries students will use it to cheat rather than learn (Frontiers in Education, 2025). What makes second-order barriers tricky is that they're embedded in teacher identity and professional philosophy; they change slowly and resist quick fixes (Ertmer, 1999; Chiu, 2022).

The core idea is that lots of people are focused on removing first-order barriers, assuming that if we just gave teachers resources and training, integration would happen. However, research consistently shows this isn't enough. Second-order barriers, especially teachers' beliefs about learning and their self-efficacy with technology, are stickier and, in other words, are more resistant to change (Ertmer, 1999; Chiu, 2022; Murillo-Jiménez et al., 2025).

Why Teachers Actually Hesitate: The Evidence

The Infrastructure Problem Never Really Went Away

We might think infrastructure isn't a problem anymore, but many teachers, especially those in rural schools, under-resourced districts, or developing countries, still work with outdated equipment and unreliable internet (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Frontiers in Education, 2025). When teaching a class where the student-to-device ratio is 10:1, or where the internet drops multiple times per day, the reasonable response of a teacher is often not to build lessons around technology (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023). Equity must also be considered as teachers who work with low-income students see firsthand that not all kids have devices or reliable Internet and

WiFi at home (Frontiers in Education, 2025). Using technology in class when some students can't access it outside class actually widens the gap rather than narrows it. Thus, a teacher who takes infrastructure into consideration to avoid classroom technology use is not being resistant, rather they are thinking carefully about consequences (Frontiers in Education, 2025).

Preservice teachers entering the profession already recognize these constraints; research shows that 89.5% of prospective teachers identify lack of equipment and 93.4% identify lack of funding as major barriers (Dinç, 2019). These are not pessimistic future teachers; they are recognizing real, well-documented obstacles (Dinç, 2019).

Professional Development Usually Is Not Designed for This

Teachers do get professional development, but it often misses the mark. Generic "how to use this software" trainings disconnected from their actual subject matter and classroom context has been shown to not be useful (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Frontiers in Education, 2025). In addition, one-shot workshops without follow-up, rarely stick (Frontiers in Education, 2025). Therefore, training that focuses only on technical skills, without addressing why or how the technology should be used within a specific subject like science or English, can leave teachers reasonably wondering whether it actually matters. (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Murillo-Jiménez et al., 2025).

This issue is further magnified in pre-service teacher education programs. Additionally, many experienced teachers completed their initial training at a time when technology integration was not yet an explicit focus, and they therefore received little to no formal preparation in this area. In effect, teachers have been expected to develop these competencies independently and outside of their regular workload, which is neither realistic nor reasonable (Dinç, 2019).

Research points to a different picture of what is effective. Studies on teacher motivation and technology adoption indicate that professional development is most successful when it supports teachers' basic psychological needs: autonomy (having meaningful choice), competence (building confidence), and relatedness (feeling connected to colleagues and students) (Chiu, 2022). Teachers who receive support that fulfills these psychological needs, such as selecting their own tools, receiving expert mentoring, and collaborating with peers, demonstrate significantly higher motivation and sustained technology use (Chiu, 2022). In one longitudinal study, teachers who participated in this comprehensive support model reported substantially elevated levels of perceived autonomy, competence, and relatedness, which translated into the consistent implementation of high-quality technology practices (Chiu, 2022).

What Teachers Actually Worry About (And Why It's Valid)

Teachers express legitimate concerns about technology, many of which are well-founded (Ertmer, 1999; Frontiers in Education, 2025). They worry about academic integrity, as artificial intelligence tools have indeed facilitated cheating. They also express concern that screen-based activities may distract students from meaningful learning, a worry supported by research documenting the negative impact of excessive screen time on focus (Frontiers in Education, 2025). Additionally, they fear that students with limited access to devices or the Internet will fall further behind if technology becomes central to instruction (Frontiers in Education, 2025).

These concerns are not irrational. Rather, they represent specific, evidence-based considerations regarding their students' needs. When teachers hesitate to adopt a particular tool, it often reflects careful deliberation about potential drawbacks rather than mere stubbornness (Ertmer, 1999; Frontiers in Education, 2025). Approximately 70% of prospective teachers

identify insufficient ability and confidence as significant barriers to technology implementation which reflects their commitment to effective practice rather than risking unsuccessful outcomes in front of students (Dinç, 2019).

Beliefs About Learning Run Deep

A less visible yet profoundly influential factor is that teachers' beliefs about how students learn fundamentally shape their willingness to incorporate technology (Ertmer, 1999; Frontiers in Education, 2025; Chiu, 2022; Murillo-Jiménez et al., 2025). For instance, a teacher who believes that learning happens through direct instruction and practice may not see technology as fitting into her approach. Similarly, a teacher who values student conversation and collaboration may see devices as distracting from that goal. ese perspectives are not erroneous but are deeply rooted in pedagogical convictions (Ertmer, 1999). Teachers with constructivist beliefs about learning, which emphasize students' active construction of knowledge, demonstrate greater likelihood of utilizing technology to support student-centered learning approaches (Chiu, 2022). However, teachers who hold more traditional, transmission-based beliefs, which is characterized by the view that knowledge is primarily delivered from teacher to student through direct instruction, are more likely to use technology merely for content presentation, resulting in lower-quality integration (Chiu, 2022; Murillo-Jiménez et al., 2025).

Changing pedagogical beliefs presents significant challenges, as such transformations occur gradually over time. Brief professional development sessions, such as half-day workshops, cannot effectively alter deeply held convictions about learning. These beliefs are intrinsically linked to teachers' professional identities and practices, rendering them particularly resistant to rapid change (Ertmer, 1999; Chiu, 2022).

What Actually Helps: The Evidence on Solutions

Real institutional support matters enormously. When school leaders clearly demonstrate that technology integration aligns with institutional priorities, allocate dedicated time and resources, model its use themselves, and grant teachers autonomy in selecting appropriate tools, rates of technology adoption significantly increase (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Frontiers in Education, 2025; Chiu, 2022). Research applying self-determination theory, a framework positing that human motivation thrives when three basic psychological needs are met (autonomy, competence, and relatedness), demonstrates that leadership support fulfilling these needs substantially enhances teacher motivation and sustained technology integration. Specifically, autonomy support allows teachers to select appropriate tools, competence support provides targeted professional development to build skills and confidence, and relatedness enhances belonging with others to foster peer collaboration. All of these elements contribute to higher adoption rates and consistent practice (Chiu, 2022). Teachers receiving this type of comprehensive support reported 69% higher autonomy, 43% higher perceived competence, and 65% higher relatedness compared to those without support (Chiu, 2022). Vague expressions of support are insufficient; teachers require tangible evidence that technology integration is genuinely prioritized and valued by institutional leadership (Frontiers in Education, 2025).

Professional development needs to be different. Effective programs are not one-shot training. Rather, they are collaborative, embedded in actual practice, subject-specific, and ongoing. typically spanning 6-12 months to achieve meaningful change (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Frontiers in Education, 2025; Murillo-Jiménez et al., 2025). Teachers collaborating to learn together, implementing strategies in authentic classroom settings, receiving feedback and

support, and collectively addressing challenges represent the approaches that effectively transform instructional practices (Frontiers in Education, 2025). School-based support interventions combining leader, expert, and peer support showed that teachers sustained high-quality technology practices even 10 months after formal support ended (Chiu, 2022).

Peer influence is powerful. Teachers are more likely to try technology when they see colleagues they trust successfully using it. Not because they are being pressured, but because it demystifies the tool and makes it feel feasible (Frontiers in Education, 2025). Establishing structured opportunities for teachers to observe and learn from one another proves effective in promoting technology integration (Frontiers in Education, 2025; Chiu, 2022).

Address concerns first, teach skills second. The most successful approaches to technology adoption begin by acknowledging teachers' legitimate concerns regarding academic integrity, equity, and the tool's practical effectiveness, then collaboratively develop targeted solutions to address them (Ertmer, 1999; Frontiers in Education, 2025). This validation process fosters trust and positions teachers as partners in problem-solving rather than recipients of mandates. Teachers who feel genuinely heard and respected in this manner demonstrate greater willingness to experiment with technology and sustain its implementation over time (Frontiers in Education, 2025).

Making It Systematic: What Leaders Can Do

These practical implications hold particular relevance for teachers aspiring to leadership roles within their schools, as well as for district leaders committed to fostering technology integration. Addressing this challenge requires a comprehensive, systems-level approach rather

than isolated interventions, as the barriers are inherently interconnected (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Frontiers in Education, 2025).

Effective technology integration requires multiple foundational elements working in concert. Essential infrastructure includes functioning devices, reliable internet connectivity, and accessible technical support. Professional development must be thoughtfully designed to be ongoing, embedded in authentic classroom practice, collaborative, and tailored to specific subject areas. Leadership must demonstrate genuine prioritization through concrete actions rather than mere rhetoric. Schools should create safe spaces for teachers to experiment without fear of immediate blame for initial setbacks. Finally, teachers must have a meaningful voice in selecting and implementing appropriate technologies (Ertmer, 1999; Frontiers in Education, 2025).

Sustainable technology integration requires patience and realistic timelines. Research consistently documents an adoption curve spanning 12-18 months; therefore, slow initial uptake represents a normal developmental process rather than evidence of failure (Frontiers in Education, 2025). The primary challenge lies in the fact that most educational systems anticipate rapid implementation and subsequently attribute lack of sustainability to teachers rather than addressing systemic shortcomings (Frontiers in Education, 2025). The research clearly demonstrates that combining leadership support (such as funding allocation and policy clarity), expert guidance (through targeted professional development), and peer collaboration (including learning partnerships and classroom observation) enables teachers to implement technology meaningfully and sustain its use over time (Chiu, 2022).

Conclusion: The Bottom Line

Teacher hesitation to implement technology is not a character flaw. Rather, it is a predictable, rational response to systems that haven't yet created the conditions where meaningful integration is actually feasible and worthwhile (Ertmer, 1999; Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Frontiers in Education, 2025).

When those conditions exist, specifically when infrastructure proves reliable, professional development remains thoughtful and ongoing, leadership provides genuine support, and teachers can exercise a meaningful voice in the process, many educators successfully implement technology in substantive and effective ways (Frontiers in Education, 2025; Chiu, 2022). The central question is not whether teachers possess the capacity for change, but rather whether educational systems are effectively structured to enable and support such transformation (Ertmer, 1999; Chiu, 2022).

The good news is that understanding why teachers hesitate to implement technology equips us with clarity, while research identifies effective integration strategies. The essential task involves systematically implementing these evidence-based approaches rather than relying solely on good intentions or administrative mandates to drive meaningful change (Abedi & Ackah-Jnr, 2023; Frontiers in Education, 2025; Chiu, 2022).

References

Abedi, E. A., & Ackah-Jnr, F. R. (2023). First-order barriers still matter in teachers' use of technology: An exploratory study of multi-stakeholder perspectives of technology integration barriers. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*, 19(2), 148–165.

Chiu, T. K. F. (2022). School learning support for teacher technology integration from a self-determination theory perspective. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 70(3), 931–949. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10096-x

Dinç, E. (2019). Prospective teachers' perceptions of barriers to technology integration in education. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 10(4), 381–398. https://doi.org/10.30935/cet.634187

Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 47–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02299597

Frontiers in Education. (2025). A systematic review on the impact of teacher professional development on digital instructional integration and teaching practices. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, 1541031. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1541031

Murillo-Jiménez, H., & Colleagues. (2025). Analyzing barriers to the effective implementation of technological interventions in inclusive education. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, 1687664.